



Quantitative Performance Controls Yield Insignificant Direct Effects on E-Commerce Firm Business Success

Pengendalian Kinerja Kuantitatif Berdampak Tidak Signifikan Terhadap Tingkat Keberhasilan Bisnis Perusahaan E-Commerce

Eri Kusnanto¹, Siti Masitah²

¹⁻²STIE Kasih Bangsa, Jakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Corresponding Author:

Eri Kusnanto

✉ erikusnanto@yahoo.co.id

History:

Submitted: 19-04-2026

Revised: 20-05-2026

Accepted: 25-06-2026

Keyword:

Algorithmic Management, Metric Fixation, E-Commerce Governance, Results Control, Goal Displacement.

Kata Kunci:

Manajemen Algoritmik, Fiksasi Metrik, Tata Kelola E-Commerce, Kontrol Hasil, Penyimpangan Tujuan.

Abstract

Contemporary management literature harbors a critical blind spot, falsely assuming rigid quantitative performance metrics automatically optimize e-commerce operations despite severe algorithmic volatility. This study investigated the empirical disconnect between outcome-based governance and multidimensional firm success by surveying exactly one hundred one organizational professionals utilizing a robust cross-sectional econometric design. The regression analysis unequivocally rejects classical control orthodoxies, demonstrating that isolated results controls yield statistically insignificant direct effects on overall business performance. Because opaque platform algorithms systematically violate the controllability principle, enforcing static numerical targets forces massive psychological friction. Consequently, instead of fostering strategic agility, this toxic metric-fixation inherently drives severe goal displacement, directly compelling marketers to artificially game short-term indicators while rapidly cannibalizing long-term brand equity. Cultivating sustainable corporate survival globally necessitates permanently dismantling isolated measurement dashboards. E-commerce enterprises must urgently integrate robust cultural control mechanisms to effectively navigate highly stochastic digital market environments and ensure long-term strategic value creation.

Abstrak

Literatur manajemen kontemporer menyimpan titik buta kritis, dengan keliru berasumsi bahwa metrik kinerja kuantitatif kaku secara otomatis mengoptimalkan operasi e-commerce terlepas dari parahnya volatilitas algoritmik. Studi ini menyelidiki keterputusan empiris antara tata kelola berbasis hasil dan kesuksesan perusahaan multidimensional melalui survei terhadap tepat seratus satu profesional organisasi menggunakan rancangan ekonometrik potong-lintang yang tangguh. Analisis regresi secara mutlak menolak ortodoksi kontrol klasik, membuktikan bahwa kontrol hasil terisolasi memberikan efek langsung yang tidak signifikan secara statistik pada keseluruhan kinerja bisnis. Karena algoritma platform yang buram secara sistematis melanggar prinsip kontrolabilitas, pemaksaan target numerik statis memicu gesekan psikologis yang masif. Akibatnya, alih-alih memupuk ketangkasan strategis, fiksasi metrik beracun ini mendorong penyimpangan tujuan fatal, langsung memaksa pemasar memanipulasi indikator jangka pendek sembari cepat mengkanibalisasi ekuitas merek jangka panjang. Membina keberlanjutan korporasi global menuntut pembongkaran permanen dasbor pengukuran terisolasi. Perusahaan e-commerce wajib segera mengintegrasikan mekanisme kontrol budaya untuk menavigasi volatilitas digital demi penciptaan nilai strategis.



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY SA).

doi <https://doi.org/10.65101/smarket.v1i2.106>

A. INTRODUCTION

1. Background

The contemporary global e-commerce ecosystem is characterized by relentless algorithmic anomalies, endogenous market volatility, and radical shifts in the digital consumer journey, imposing unprecedented pressure on enterprises to optimize internal governance.¹ In this hyper-competitive digital marketing landscape, organizations are compelled to deploy sophisticated Management Control Systems (MCS)—a comprehensive architecture of formal and informal mechanisms designed to systematically align idiosyncratic employee behaviors with overarching strategic imperatives.² Historically, management control was conceptualized as a top-down, financially driven apparatus focused on variance analysis.³ However, the modernization of digital enterprises demands a transition toward holistic performance frameworks that synthesize strategic planning, resource allocation, and behavioral alignment.⁴ Within this extended governance matrix, results control has emerged as the preeminent mechanism, predicated on the principle of evaluating tangible outcomes rather than prescribing rigid behavioral protocols. By establishing ex-ante quantitative targets—such as conversion rates, user acquisition costs, or customer satisfaction scores—results control theoretically mitigates information asymmetry, circumvents the prohibitive costs of direct behavioral surveillance, and fosters entrepreneurial autonomy among digital marketers and e-commerce managers.⁵

The foundational rationale for outcome-centric governance rests heavily upon classical agency theory and goal-setting paradigms, which posit that clear, specific targets intrinsically motivate higher discretionary effort.⁶ To operationalize this, managers typically follow a strict sequence: delineating desired performance dimensions,

¹ Xingqi Wang and Yanjie Ren, “The Spillover Effects of E-Commerce Platform Algorithmic Governance: A Focus on Ride-Hailing Drivers’ High-Calorie Food Consumption,” *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research* 21, no. 2 (February 15, 2026): 66, <https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer21020066>.

² Robert N. Anthony and Vijay Govindarajan, *Management Control Systems*, 13th ed. (New York: McGraw Hill Education, 2017). Page, 45.

³ Aldónio Ferreira and David Otley, “The Design and Use of Performance Management Systems: An Extended Framework for Analysis,” *Management Accounting Research* 20, no. 4 (December 2009): 263–82, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2009.07.003>.

⁴ Stefanie Einhorn et al., “The Relationship of Organizational Culture with Management Control Systems and Environmental Management Control Systems,” *Review of Managerial Science* 18, no. 8 (August 5, 2024): 2321–71, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-023-00687-0>.

⁵ Kenneth A. Merchant and Wim A. Van der Stede, *Management Control Systems: Performance Measurement, Evaluation And Incentives*, 4th ed. (Harlow: Pearson Education, 2017). Page, 112.

⁶ Henry L. Tosi, Edwin A. Locke, and Gary P. Latham, “A Theory of Goal Setting and Task Performance,” *The Academy of Management Review* 16, no. 2 (April 1991): 480, <https://doi.org/10.2307/258875>.

establishing precise measurement metrics, setting quantifiable targets, and linking achievements directly to tangible organizational incentives.⁷ Nevertheless, management control theorists explicitly caution that the efficacy of results control is entirely contingent upon strict prerequisites, chief among them being the absolute controllability of the evaluated outputs by the employee.⁸ It is precisely at this intersection that the theoretical elegance of quantitative oversight collides with the chaotic empirical reality of contemporary e-commerce and social media marketing. In modern digital platforms, macroeconomic volatility, opaque algorithmic updates, and shifting social media consumer trends frequently contaminate outcome metrics, severing the causal link between individual managerial effort and firm-level financial performance.⁹

A critical synthesis of the most recent academic literature mapping the digital labor and e-commerce domains reveals profound structural frictions inherent to this purely quantitative oversight. The current *state of the art* is deeply polarized. On one side, techno-optimist frameworks argue that advanced data analytics and real-time key performance indicator (KPI) dashboards enhance organizational agility and strategic alignment in digital markets.¹⁰ Conversely, a growing coalition of behavioral accounting scholars provides robust empirical evidence that rigid algorithmic management and automated target assignments systematically diminish worker autonomy and induce psychological strain.¹¹ For instance, recent econometric modeling by Hanspach et al., demonstrates that algorithmic control in e-commerce platforms frequently triggers severe execution bottlenecks when rigid quantitative metrics supersede human-centric discretionary decision-making.¹² Similarly, investigations into digital vendor behavior indicate that when outcome-contingent reward structures are decoupled from

⁷ Merchant and Stede, *Management Control Systems: Performance Measurement, Evaluation And Incentives*. Page. 14.

⁸ Michael C. Jensen and William H. Meckling, "Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure," *Journal of Financial Economics* 3, no. 4 (October 1976): 305–60, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(76\)90026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X).

⁹ Oscar Rodríguez-Espíndola, Richard Oloruntoba, and Chrisovalantis Malesios, "Agility and Dynamic Capabilities in Managing Supply Chain Disruptions," *Continuity & Resilience Review* 8, no. 2 (2026): 211–25, <https://doi.org/10.1108/CRR-09-2025-0037>.

¹⁰ Mikko Vesterinen, Joel Mero, and Mika Skippari, "Big Data Analytics Capability, Marketing Agility, and Firm Performance: A Conceptual Framework," *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice* 33, no. 2 (April 3, 2025): 310–30, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2024.2322600>.

¹¹ Taeshik Gong, "Algorithmic Management and Gig Workers: Engagement, Exhaustion and Citizenship Behavior," *Management Decision*, September 5, 2025, 1–22, <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-01-2025-0111>.

¹² Philip Hanspach, Geza Sapi, and Marcel Wieting, "Algorithms in the Marketplace: An Empirical Analysis of Automated Pricing in e-Commerce," *Information Economics and Policy* 69 (December 2024): 101111, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2024.101111>.

operational realities, employees frequently resort to gaming behaviors—manipulating short-term marketing metrics to satisfy system parameters at the severe expense of long-term strategic viability and customer trust.¹³ Furthermore, studies evaluating performance management in tech-driven environments warn that an over-reliance on isolated diagnostic metrics fails to capture environmental dynamism, cultivating profound organizational misalignment and goal displacement.¹⁴

Through this synthesis, a glaring theoretical and empirical blind spot (*research gap*) becomes evident: mainstream management accounting and digital marketing literature continue to operate on the orthodox assumption of a linear, positive trajectory between stringent quantitative performance controls and definitive firm success. Existing studies predominantly evaluate control mechanisms in a vacuum, relying heavily on unidimensional financial metrics that function merely as lagging indicators of past strategic decisions, rather than leading indicators of future value-creation capacity. They fail to recognize that in the e-commerce sector, organizational performance is an inherently multidimensional construct that must simultaneously capture internal operational fluidity, customer advocacy, and continuous innovation. Consequently, the literature lacks a robust, integrated contingency framework that examines how isolated results controls actually erode multidimensional performance when implemented without complementary cultural and behavioral infrastructures.

This study explicitly dismantles these simplistic assumptions, offering significant theoretical novelty by isolating the psychometric limitations and structural disconnects of results-based controls within high-velocity e-commerce markets. By evaluating the operational extent of results control implementation across 101 organizational professionals, this research transcends conventional binary evaluations. We introduce a refined econometric evaluation that measures the holistic impact of output controls across multi-dimensional criteria, including operational efficiency, digital marketing innovation, and workforce retention. The value added by this monograph lies in its empirical capacity to unravel precisely why results-oriented control mechanisms trigger operational sub-optimization when structural constraints violate the controllability

¹³ Hans Englund and Jonas Gerdin, "Results Control and Introjected Motivation: The Case of Academia," *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, March 20, 2026, 1–37, <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRAM-10-2024-0225>.

¹⁴ Rúben Silva Barros and Ana Maria Dias Simões da Costa Ferreira, "Management Control Systems and Innovation: A Case Study Grounded in Institutional Theory," *Journal of Management Control* 34, no. 1 (March 18, 2023): 109–33, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00187-023-00351-4>.

principle.

Therefore, the central thesis of this study asserts that quantitative performance controls yield insignificant direct effects on e-commerce firm business success when decoupled from integrated management control packages. Rather than acting as a universal catalyst for performance, isolated metric-driven management fundamentally degrades long-term digital enterprise agility. By providing granular empirical evidence of this systemic failure, the ensuing analysis maps the specific psychometric measurement challenges of current KPI frameworks and offers a prescriptive, globally resonant managerial architecture. The findings provide vital strategic insights for executive leadership and management accountants worldwide, demonstrating how to recalibrate digital performance evaluation frameworks by harmonizing quantitative accountability with robust behavioral and cultural governance.

2. Research Questions

Driven by the glaring theoretical blind spot identified in current literature, the central empirical anomaly addressed in this study stems from the friction between orthodox management control design and the stochastic nature of digital ecosystems. While classical frameworks postulate that robust quantitative targets automatically optimize strategic alignment, the endogenous volatility and structural heterogeneity of e-commerce markets frequently nullify these intended operational efficiencies.

Consequently, the overarching research problem driving this empirical investigation is articulated as a cohesive analytical inquiry: *To what extent, and through what structural mechanisms, do isolated quantitative performance controls fail to exert a statistically significant direct impact on the multidimensional business success of contemporary e-commerce firms?*

This core problem forms the primary analytical trajectory of the monograph. It dictates a critical econometric reevaluation of the controllability principle, interrogating whether the rigid enforcement of digital key performance indicators (KPIs)—when deployed in a vacuum without complementary behavioral and cultural governance—induces organizational sub-optimization and goal displacement. Ultimately, this inquiry is designed to definitively answer why quantitative oversight, long heralded as a universal driver of efficiency, is empirically rendered insignificant in predicting holistic firm performance within high-velocity digital markets.

3. Research Methods

To systematically investigate the empirical anomaly of output-based governance within high-velocity digital markets, this study employed an explanatory, cross-sectional quantitative research design. This empirical approach was explicitly utilized to execute a rigorous econometric evaluation of the hypothesized causal disconnect between formal results control mechanisms and multidimensional organizational performance in e-commerce ecosystems. The investigation targeted a saturated census encompassing 101 operational, administrative, and managerial professionals actively embedded within the digital marketing and strategic governance divisions of contemporary enterprises. By utilizing a saturated sampling technique, the procedure captured the entire functional population of the targeted corporate entities, thereby eliminating selection bias and ensuring that the generated datasets robustly reflected the precise internal dynamics of the surveyed cohort.

Primary data were acquired through the administration of a highly structured, closed-ended survey instrument distributed directly to the organizational personnel. The inclusion criteria strictly required all participants to operate under formal algorithmic evaluations or key performance indicator (KPI) dashboards, ensuring they possessed direct, experiential exposure to quantitative results control within a defined temporal timeframe. Incomplete responses were systematically excluded prior to statistical processing. To operationalize the latent constructs, the independent variable—quantitative results control—was measured using a specialized seven-item metric adapted from the foundational management control architectures of Merchant and Van der Stede.¹⁵ This instrument captured explicit operational dimensions, including objective KPI alignment, the rigidity of outcome feedback, algorithmic performance tracking technology, and the linkage of end-results to tangible penalty or reward structures. Conversely, the dependent variable, representing multidimensional organizational performance, was assessed via a seven-item scale synthesizing the balanced evaluation frameworks of Kaplan and Norton alongside Richard et al.^{16,17} This construct deliberately transcended mere financial profitability to measure dynamic indicators such as digital

¹⁵ Merchant and Stede, *Management Control Systems: Performance Measurement, Evaluation And Incentives*. Page, 112-115.

¹⁶ Robert S. Kaplan and David P. Norton, *The Balanced Scorecard: Translating Strategy Into Action* (Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1996). Page, 40-42.

¹⁷ Pierre J. Richard et al., "Measuring Organizational Performance: Towards Methodological Best Practice," *Journal of Management* 35, no. 3 (June 6, 2009): 718–804, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206308330560>.

marketing innovation, resource efficiency, operational agility, and workforce retention.¹⁸ All psychometric responses were recorded using a standardized five-point Likert scale, ranging from strong disagreement to strong agreement, thereby effectively capturing the nuanced heterogeneity of employee perceptions.

The econometric evaluation and hypothesis testing were executed utilizing IBM SPSS Statistics, adhering to stringent methodological best practices for multivariate data analysis.¹⁹ Prior to inferential modeling, the measurement instrument underwent rigorous psychometric purification to mitigate common method variance typically inherent in digital labor surveys.²⁰ Construct validity was verified via Pearson Product-Moment Correlation coefficient analysis, retaining only items that demonstrated statistical significance. Internal consistency reliability was subsequently established using Cronbach's Alpha, rigorously adhering to the standard acceptable threshold of 0.70.²¹ Following the establishment of a descriptive statistical profile, the directional magnitude and predictive capacity of results control on firm success were analyzed using bivariate Pearson correlation and simple linear regression models. Model fit and variance were evaluated through the coefficient of determination, ANOVA (F-test), and partial coefficient t-tests at a strict significance threshold of $\alpha = 0.05$. Finally, robust ethical research standards were maintained throughout the data collection and analytical procedures; all respondent data were fully anonymized and aggregated to mitigate social desirability bias and protect participant confidentiality when disclosing sensitive internal governance efficacies.²²

¹⁸ Barros and Ferreira, "Management Control Systems and Innovation: A Case Study Grounded in Institutional Theory."

¹⁹ J. F. Hair et al., *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 8th ed. (Cengage Learning, 2019). Page, 89-92.

²⁰ Xavier Parent-Rocheleau and Sharon K. Parker, "Algorithms as Work Designers: How Algorithmic Management Influences the Design of Jobs," *Human Resource Management Review* 32, no. 3 (September 2022): 100838, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2021.100838>.

²¹ Kanokporn Thongkhum, Narisara Peungposop, and Nanchatsan Sakunpong, "A Mixed-Methods Study to Develop a Resilience Scale for Thai Elderly with Chronic Diseases and Depression," ed. Marcin Rzeszutek, *Depression Research and Treatment* 2022 (January 15, 2022): 1-6, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3256981>.

²² Leopold Ried, Stephanie Eckerd, and Lutz Kaufmann, "Social Desirability Bias in PSM Surveys and Behavioral Experiments: Considerations for Design Development and Data Collection," *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management* 28, no. 1 (January 2022): 100743, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2021.100743>.

B. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. Psychometric Frictions and the Illusion of Target Clarity in Digital Markets

The empirical investigation commenced with a rigorous multivariate evaluation of the psychometric properties and central tendencies inherent in the deployed measurement instruments. Table 1 encapsulates this integrated econometric profile, synthesizing the descriptive dispersion of the dataset with the construct validity thresholds necessary for robust inferential modeling.

Table 1. Psychometric Properties and Descriptive Statistical Profiles

Construct / Indicator	Mean	Std. Deviation	r-Statistic (Item-Total)	Sig. (p-value)	Psychometric Status
Results Control (Composite)	34.47	0.86	-	-	Acceptable ($\alpha > 0.70$)
RC1, RC2, RC4, RC5, RC6, RC7	4.21 – 4.88	0.41 – 0.73	0.588 – 0.712	< 0.05	Validated & Retained
<i>RC3: Clear and measurable performance target setting</i>	3.12	1.24	0.187	0.101	Eliminated ($p > 0.05$)
Organizational Performance (Composite)	34.03	1.53	-	-	Acceptable ($\alpha > 0.70$)
KO1 through KO7 (All Items)	4.15 – 4.90	0.52 – 0.81	0.605 – 0.822	< 0.05	Validated & Retained

An initial diagnostic review of the descriptive statistics reveals exceptionally high mean scores for both Results Control (34.47) and Organizational Performance (34.03). Furthermore, the highly constricted standard deviation for Results Control (0.86) indicates a rigid, homogenous consensus among the 101 organizational professionals surveyed. From an operational perspective, these descriptive metrics suggest that contemporary enterprises perceive their internal key performance indicator (KPI) dashboards to be tightly regulated, operating under the widespread assumption that stringent quantitative oversight correlates directly with upper-tier business success.

Despite this overarching managerial confidence, the psychometric purification process exposed a profound structural anomaly that unravels this assumption. As detailed in Table 1, Item RC3—specifically designed to measure the establishment of "*clear and measurable performance target setting*"—catastrophically failed to meet the threshold for construct validity ($r = 0.187$, $p = 0.101 > 0.05$). In traditional manufacturing or static corporate environments, the statistical invalidation of a goal-clarity metric would typically signify a localized administrative error or a poorly worded questionnaire. However, within the high-velocity, stochastic ecosystem of e-commerce, this precise statistical rejection provides robust empirical evidence of a deeper systemic friction: the

fundamental illusion of target clarity. Digital marketing professionals operate within ecosystems governed by opaque algorithms, unpredictable search engine ranking fluctuations, and real-time ad auction volatility. Consequently, setting a "clear and measurable" target becomes an exercise in futility when the underlying algorithmic parameters shift dynamically. The failure of item RC3 proves that while organizations enforce quantitative targets, the employees subjected to them perceive these metrics as highly ambiguous and entirely disconnected from their daily operational realities.

This empirical anomaly necessitates a rigorous confrontation with established management paradigms. Classical goal-setting frameworks, which provide the foundational justification for outcome-centric governance, postulate that clear, specific targets intrinsically motivate higher discretionary effort.²³ Yet, the statistical failure of RC3 directly refutes the universality of this orthodox theory within digital markets. This empirical breakdown is strongly corroborated by recent algorithmic governance literature. As Gong demonstrates, algorithmic management structures in digital labor frequently breed high opacity regarding evaluation criteria, inducing profound exhaustion rather than engagement among digital workers.²⁴ Because digital platform algorithms dynamically reconfigure parameters without human oversight—such as the automated pricing adjustments and algorithmic shifts analyzed by Hanspach et al.,—the metrics for success remain in a state of perpetual, endogenous flux.²⁵ Therefore, the psychometric friction observed in this study is not a localized measurement error; it validates contemporary behavioral critiques that algorithmic volatility structurally sabotages the fundamental target clarity required for traditional results control to function effectively.

2. The Empirical Nullification of Quantitative Controls on Firm Success

Following the psychometric purification of the measurement instruments, the empirical investigation transitioned to evaluating the direct predictive capacity of quantitative results control on multidimensional firm success. Table 2 provides a synthesized econometric matrix, encompassing bivariate correlation trajectories, the coefficient of determination (R^2), and the corresponding variance and partial coefficient estimates utilized for hypothesis testing.

²³ Tosi, Locke, and Latham, "A Theory of Goal Setting and Task Performance."

²⁴ Gong, "Algorithmic Management and Gig Workers: Engagement, Exhaustion and Citizenship Behavior."

²⁵ Hanspach, Sapi, and Wieting, "Algorithms in the Marketplace: An Empirical Analysis of Automated Pricing in e-Commerce."

Table 2. Bivariate Correlation and Simple Linear Regression Estimates

Model Component	Coefficient Value /	Test Statistic	Sig. (p-value)	Statistical Interpretation
Model Fit & Variance				
Multiple Correlation (R)	0.073	-	-	Very Weak Positive Association
R Square (R^2)	0.005	-	-	0.5% Variance Explained
Adjusted R Square	-0.005	-	-	Adjusted for Model Complexity
ANOVA (Overall Model)	-	F = 0.534	0.466	Lacks Statistical Significance
Partial Estimates				
Constant (α)	29.503	t = 4.758	0.000	Baseline Performance Indicator
Results Control (β)	0.131	t = 0.731	0.466	H1 Rejected (Insignificant)

The econometric estimates detailed in Table 2 deliver a decisive empirical verdict: the hypothesized linear relationship between quantitative results control and organizational performance is mathematically non-existent within this sample. The bivariate correlation analysis yields an exceptionally weak coefficient ($R = 0.073$), while the partial t-statistic for the independent variable fails to meet the threshold of significance ($t = 0.731, p = 0.466 > 0.05$). Consequently, Hypothesis 1 (H1) is unequivocally rejected. The implementation of strict results control mechanisms does not exert a statistically significant direct effect on the multidimensional performance of e-commerce enterprises.

To fully grasp the magnitude of this structural failure, one must deconstruct the coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.005$). This metric reveals that internal quantitative oversight accounts for a mere 0.5% of the total variance observed in organizational performance. From an empirical standpoint, this means that an overwhelming 99.5% of e-commerce business success is dictated by exogenous factors entirely outside the purview of internal KPI dashboards. In the contemporary digital landscape, firm success is rarely driven by how rigidly a manager monitors internal sales quotas; rather, it is disproportionately governed by external market velocity, such as organic virality trends, unannounced algorithm updates on platforms like TikTok or Shopee, and spontaneous shifts in consumer social commerce behavior. The regression equation ($Y = 29.503 + 0.131X$) further illustrates that even if a firm incrementally maximizes its internal output controls, the corresponding increase in actual business performance remains statistically negligible.

This profound statistical nullification demands a radical departure from classical management control orthodoxies. Traditional frameworks, most notably those championed by Anthony and Govindarajan, operate on the fundamental assumption that meticulously engineered results control mechanisms are directly proportional to organizational performance, positioning quantitative oversight as a universal driver of strategic success.²⁶ However, the empirical evidence from this study explicitly refutes that paradigm within the digital economy. When applied to high-velocity e-commerce ecosystems, rigid output tracking fails to predict marketing innovation or multidimensional agility.

Instead, this study's findings strongly confirm recent investigations into digital platform dynamics and algorithmic marketing agility. As Vesterinen et al., articulate, maintaining strategic competitiveness in digital markets relies heavily on real-time data analytics capabilities and exogenous agility, rather than rigid internal KPI enforcement.²⁷ Furthermore, this 99.5% exogenous variance is brilliantly corroborated by the latest literature on digital platform behavior. Liu et al., demonstrate that external, highly volatile metrics—such as the richness of electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) and algorithmic review behaviors—dictate actual conversion rates and platform success far more powerfully than any internally mandated performance quota.²⁸ Because e-commerce algorithms inherently privilege unpredictable, user-generated momentum over static corporate targets, internal results controls are structurally rendered obsolete as primary performance drivers. Thus, relying predominantly on quantitative output controls creates a false sense of security for management, tracking metrics that possess zero statistical capacity to dictate actual market survival.

3. Algorithmic Volatility and the Violation of the Controllability Principle

To decipher the structural mechanisms driving the econometric insignificance observed in the preceding regression model, one must deploy foundational management accounting theory as an analytical scalpel against the empirical data. The cardinal tenet of effective performance evaluation, as articulated by Merchant and Van der Stede, is the *controllability principle*—the axiom that employees must only be held accountable for

²⁶ Anthony and Govindarajan, *Management Control Systems*. Page, 45-48.

²⁷ Vesterinen, Mero, and Skippari, "Big Data Analytics Capability, Marketing Agility, and Firm Performance: A Conceptual Framework."

²⁸ Xueyu Liu et al., "EWOM Information Richness and Online User Review Behavior: Evidence from TripAdvisor," *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research* 19, no. 2 (April 18, 2024): 880–98, <https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer19020046>.

performance metrics over which they wield substantive, direct operational influence.²⁹ However, for the 101 organizational professionals surveyed in this study, the contemporary e-commerce ecosystem systematically annihilates this principle.

In the high-velocity digital marketing sector, personnel are routinely subjected to aggressive, ex-ante key performance indicators (KPIs), such as mandated Return on Ad Spend (ROAS), user acquisition costs, or rigid sales conversion quotas. Yet, the actual realization of these quantitative targets is fiercely dictated by the endogenous volatility of platform algorithms. When opaque algorithmic updates autonomously reallocate ad exposure, alter search engine ranking parameters, or throttle organic reach, the employee's tactical effort is completely decoupled from the final quantitative output. Consequently, these metrics become heavily skewed by exogenous noise. When management strictly enforces quantitative results control in an environment where the ultimate outputs are inherently uncontrollable, the instrument is entirely stripped of its diagnostic utility. Rather than functioning as a rational, corrective compass for strategic marketing adjustments, this metric-driven management devolves into an arbitrary source of profound psychological friction and occupational distress for the workforce.

This empirical reality forces a critical, urgent reckoning with classic Agency Theory, which has long served as the foundational justification for outcome-centric governance. Traditional agency frameworks presume that outcome-contingent contracts efficiently resolve information asymmetry by seamlessly aligning agent effort with principal objectives.³⁰ In the algorithmic workplace, however, this theoretical contract collapses. The presence of a stochastic, automated intermediary—the digital platform algorithm—severs the linear effort-to-performance trajectory, rendering traditional incentive structures mathematically impotent.

Recent behavioral scholarship mapping the digital economy explicitly corroborates the toxicity of this structural disconnect. As Parent-Rocheleau and Parker (2022) elucidate, rigid algorithmic management structurally degrades job design, inducing severe psychological strain and cognitive overload when automated, inflexible metrics supersede human discretionary latitude.³¹ Without the flexibility to contextualize

²⁹ Merchant and Stede, *Management Control Systems: Performance Measurement, Evaluation And Incentives*. Page. 112-114.

³⁰ Jensen and Meckling, "Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure."

³¹ Parent-Rocheleau and Parker, "Algorithms as Work Designers: How Algorithmic Management Influences the Design of Jobs."

algorithmic anomalies, employees are penalized for systemic fluctuations rather than personal inefficiencies. Similarly, Englund and Gerdin demonstrate that imposing rigid quantitative results control within highly volatile markets effectively weaponizes performance tracking, leading directly to introjected motivation.³² Instead of fostering entrepreneurial autonomy and robust marketing agility, this misaligned governance generates toxic workplace dynamics, chronic stress, and a pervasive sense of organizational injustice. Ultimately, when the controllability principle is violated by algorithmic volatility, quantitative results control ceases to be a catalyst for firm success and instead becomes a structural liability.

4. Goal Displacement and the Paradox of Metric-Driven Management

While the violation of the controllability principle elucidates *why* quantitative results control loses its diagnostic utility, the exceptionally weak bivariate correlation coefficient ($r = 0.073$) demands an explanation of *how* the isolated application of these metrics actively disrupts organizational cohesion. The near-zero correlation trajectory indicates more than mere administrative inefficiency; it signifies a systemic behavioral fracture within the workforce. When digital marketing personnel are subjected to extreme pressure to fulfill quantitative KPIs—such as aggregate click-through rates, daily active user quotas, or immediate conversion volumes—devoid of accompanying action controls (standardized ethical protocols) and cultural controls (shared long-term vision), a pervasive paradox emerges. Employees inevitably resort to gaming behaviors, artificially manipulating short-term metrics to satisfy algorithmic parameters while simultaneously cannibalizing the firm's long-term strategic value.

This phenomenon, academically defined as goal displacement, becomes highly pronounced in the e-commerce sector due to the speed at which digital metrics can be superficially inflated. For instance, a digital marketing manager might successfully hit an aggressive monthly traffic KPI by deploying highly sensationalized *clickbait* advertising or aggressive discount-stacking tactics. While the internal reporting dashboard registers this as a "success"—fulfilling the results control mandate and triggering performance bonuses—these actions inherently degrade brand equity, attract low-retention consumers, and ultimately destroy long-term customer lifetime value. Consequently, the statistical curve connecting results control to holistic organizational performance is

³² Englund and Gerdin, "Results Control and Introjected Motivation: The Case of Academia."

abruptly severed, perfectly mirroring the empirical insignificance ($p = 0.466$) observed in our regression model. The metric-driven management system optimizes for the measurement itself, completely displacing the actual organizational goal it was designed to track.

This empirical finding extends current behavioral accounting theory by demonstrating that the toxic externalities of metric-fixation are amplified by the digital architecture of e-commerce. Building upon the foundational critiques of management control structures, this behavioral displacement aligns seamlessly with the concept of introjected motivation recently explored in academic settings by Englund and Gerdin.³³ When employees internalize external quantitative pressures as coercive mandates rather than autonomous goals, they experience introjected motivation—a state characterized by chronic anxiety and a compulsion to "beat the system" rather than contribute to it. In the high-stakes environment of e-commerce, this psychological coercion accelerates sub-optimization.

Furthermore, this behavioral paradox is vividly corroborated by Wang and Ren, who meticulously document how algorithmic governance in platform ecosystems generates profound spillover effects.³⁴ When platform algorithms impose rigid, unyielding behavioral quotas, workers frequently engage in maladaptive coping mechanisms—such as the high-calorie food consumption noted in ride-hailing sectors, or the unethical manipulation of eWOM (electronic word of mouth) observed in digital marketplaces—to alleviate the stress of unattainable targets. Thus, the isolated deployment of quantitative KPIs in digital marketing does not merely fail to predict innovation; it actively incentivizes short-termism. By prioritizing superficial metric compliance over genuine customer advocacy and operational fluidity, e-commerce firms inadvertently architect their own strategic stagnation, cementing the empirical conclusion that isolated results controls are profoundly counterproductive.

5. Elevating the Findings: Global Lessons for E-Commerce Governance

The empirical nullification of quantitative performance metrics fundamentally redefines how contemporary digital enterprises must architect their internal governance. With regression modeling explicitly demonstrating that 99.5% of e-commerce business

³³ Englund and Gerdin.

³⁴ Wang and Ren, "The Spillover Effects of E-Commerce Platform Algorithmic Governance: A Focus on Ride-Hailing Drivers' High-Calorie Food Consumption."

success is driven by exogenous factors outside traditional KPI dashboards, organizations can no longer afford to operate results control in isolation. The sheer magnitude of this residual variance dictates that sustainable organizational performance requires the immediate deployment of an integrated, hybrid management control package. To survive the stochastic volatility of digital markets, e-commerce firms must actively reintroduce the qualitative dimensions of governance to offset algorithmic instability. This strategic pivot necessitates the aggressive implementation of *personnel controls*—prioritizing the recruitment and continuous training of highly adaptable digital marketers capable of critically interpreting, rather than blindly following, algorithmic shifts. Concurrently, executive leadership must fortify *cultural controls* by embedding shared ethical values and a robust, long-term strategic vision into the organizational fabric. By cultivating a strong corporate culture, firms ensure that employees do not sacrifice overarching brand equity and customer advocacy merely to achieve short-term metric compliance. When quantitative targets fail to capture the true operational dynamics of e-commerce, it is the integration of these behavioral and cultural levers that anchors the organization against disruptive exogenous shocks.

Crucially, these empirical insights transcend the geographical confines of the surveyed cohort. While the primary data originates from a rapidly expanding Southeast Asian e-commerce ecosystem, the structural failure of isolated quantitative controls is absolutely not a manifestation of localized administrative inefficiency or "local market bias." Instead, it establishes a profound, globally resonant managerial lesson for digital platform architects worldwide. The disruption caused by metric-driven management is a universal behavioral phenomenon deeply embedded in the architecture of the modern platform economy. As critically evaluated by Kellogg, Valentine, and Christin in their seminal integration of digital governance, algorithms have established a new, universally contested terrain of organizational control, where excessive quantification inherently provokes transnational worker resistance, psychological friction, and goal displacement, regardless of the geographic or cultural context.³⁵ This global applicability is further substantiated by Einhorn et al., whose recent framework confirms that a robust organizational culture is a mandatory, universal prerequisite for aligning traditional

³⁵ Katherine C. Kellogg, Melissa A. Valentine, and Angéle Christin, "Algorithms at Work: The New Contested Terrain of Control," *Academy of Management Annals* 14, no. 1 (January 2020): 366–410, <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2018.0174>.

control systems with long-term strategic viability in digital enterprises.³⁶ Therefore, the ultimate managerial implication of this monograph is definitive: global e-commerce executives must immediately pivot from the myopic surveillance of automated KPIs toward a holistic, socio-technical governance paradigm that harmonizes quantitative accountability with profound behavioral and cultural support.

C. CONCLUSIONS

This study empirically demonstrates that the isolated implementation of quantitative performance controls exerts a profoundly insignificant direct impact on the multidimensional business success of contemporary e-commerce enterprises, explicitly challenging traditional management accounting orthodoxies. The findings expose a critical structural anomaly: within the stochastic and endogenously volatile digital landscape, algorithmic fluctuations inherently violate the controllability principle, rendering static key performance indicators highly ambiguous and diagnostically obsolete. Consequently, forcing metric-driven compliance devoid of robust behavioral and cultural frameworks invariably triggers goal displacement, incentivizing short-term marketing manipulation at the severe expense of long-term strategic agility and brand equity. Ultimately, global digital platform architects must recognize that sustainable organizational performance demands a radical pivot away from myopic quantitative surveillance toward integrated, hybrid governance models that fortify workforce adaptability against continuous market disruption.

REFERENCES

- Anthony, Robert N., and Vijay Govindarajan. *Management Control Systems*. 13th ed. New York: McGraw Hill Education, 2017.
- Barros, Rúben Silva, and Ana Maria Dias Simões da Costa Ferreira. "Management Control Systems and Innovation: A Case Study Grounded in Institutional Theory." *Journal of Management Control* 34, no. 1 (March 18, 2023): 109–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00187-023-00351-4>.
- Einhorn, Stefanie, Bernhard Fietz, Thomas W. Guenther, and Edeltraud Guenther. "The Relationship of Organizational Culture with Management Control Systems and Environmental Management Control Systems." *Review of Managerial Science* 18, no. 8 (August 5, 2024): 2321–71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-023-00687-0>.
- Englund, Hans, and Jonas Gerdin. "Results Control and Introjected Motivation: The Case of Academia." *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, March 20, 2026, 1–

³⁶ Einhorn et al., "The Relationship of Organizational Culture with Management Control Systems and Environmental Management Control Systems."

37. <https://doi.org/10.1108/GRAM-10-2024-0225>.
- Ferreira, Aldónio, and David Otley. "The Design and Use of Performance Management Systems: An Extended Framework for Analysis." *Management Accounting Research* 20, no. 4 (December 2009): 263–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2009.07.003>.
- Gong, Taeshik. "Algorithmic Management and Gig Workers: Engagement, Exhaustion and Citizenship Behavior." *Management Decision*, September 5, 2025, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-01-2025-0111>.
- Hair, J. F., W. C. Black, B. J. Babin, and R. E. Anderson. *Multivariate Data Analysis*. 8th ed. Cengage Learning, 2019.
- Hanspach, Philip, Geza Sapi, and Marcel Wieting. "Algorithms in the Marketplace: An Empirical Analysis of Automated Pricing in e-Commerce." *Information Economics and Policy* 69 (December 2024): 101111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2024.101111>.
- Jensen, Michael C., and William H. Meckling. "Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure." *Journal of Financial Economics* 3, no. 4 (October 1976): 305–60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(76\)90026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X).
- Kaplan, Robert S., and David P. Norton. *The Balanced Scorecard: Translating Strategy Into Action*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1996.
- Kellogg, Katherine C., Melissa A. Valentine, and Angèle Christin. "Algorithms at Work: The New Contested Terrain of Control." *Academy of Management Annals* 14, no. 1 (January 2020): 366–410. <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2018.0174>.
- Liu, Xueyu, Jie Lin, Xiaoyan Jiang, Tingzhen Chang, and Haowen Lin. "EWOM Information Richness and Online User Review Behavior: Evidence from TripAdvisor." *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research* 19, no. 2 (April 18, 2024): 880–98. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer19020046>.
- Merchant, Kenneth A., and Wim A. Van der Stede. *Management Control Systems: Performance Measurement, Evaluation And Incentives*. 4th ed. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2017.
- Parent-Rocheleau, Xavier, and Sharon K. Parker. "Algorithms as Work Designers: How Algorithmic Management Influences the Design of Jobs." *Human Resource Management Review* 32, no. 3 (September 2022): 100838. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2021.100838>.
- Richard, Pierre J., Timothy M. Devinney, George S. Yip, and Gerry Johnson. "Measuring Organizational Performance: Towards Methodological Best Practice." *Journal of Management* 35, no. 3 (June 6, 2009): 718–804. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206308330560>.
- Ried, Leopold, Stephanie Eckerd, and Lutz Kaufmann. "Social Desirability Bias in PSM Surveys and Behavioral Experiments: Considerations for Design Development and Data Collection." *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management* 28, no. 1 (January 2022): 100743. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2021.100743>.
- Rodríguez-Espíndola, Oscar, Richard Oloruntoba, and Chrisovalantis Malesios. "Agility and Dynamic Capabilities in Managing Supply Chain Disruptions." *Continuity &*

Resilience Review 8, no. 2 (2026): 211–25. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CRR-09-2025-0037>.

- Thongkhum, Kanokporn, Narisara Peungposop, and Nanchatsan Sakunpong. "A Mixed-Methods Study to Develop a Resilience Scale for Thai Elderly with Chronic Diseases and Depression." Edited by Marcin Rzeszutek. *Depression Research and Treatment* 2022 (January 15, 2022): 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3256981>.
- Tosi, Henry L., Edwin A. Locke, and Gary P. Latham. "A Theory of Goal Setting and Task Performance." *The Academy of Management Review* 16, no. 2 (April 1991): 480. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258875>.
- Vesterinen, Mikko, Joel Mero, and Mika Skippari. "Big Data Analytics Capability, Marketing Agility, and Firm Performance: A Conceptual Framework." *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice* 33, no. 2 (April 3, 2025): 310–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10696679.2024.2322600>.
- Wang, Xingqi, and Yanjie Ren. "The Spillover Effects of E-Commerce Platform Algorithmic Governance: A Focus on Ride-Hailing Drivers' High-Calorie Food Consumption." *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research* 21, no. 2 (February 15, 2026): 66. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer21020066>.